





aged for the house to attend to the convenience and comfort of pilgrims.



## HONGKONG LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

A meeting of the Hongkong Legislative Council was held yesterday in the Council Chamber, President.

**HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR, Sir HENRY A. BLAKE, G.C.M.G.**

Hon. Colonel L. F. BROWN, R.E. (Senior Officer Commanding the Garrison).

Hon. F. H. MAY (Colonial Secretary).

Hon. Sir HENRY C. BLANCHET, Kt. (Attorney-General).

Hon. A. M. THOMSON (Colonial Treasurer).

Hon. Commander H. M. RUSSELL, R.N. (Harbour Master).

Hon. W. CHATHAM (Director of Public Works).

Hon. F. W. CHANG (Medical Officer of Health).

Hon. Dr. Ho Kai C.M.G.

Hon. WEI A. YUK.

Hon. C. S. SHARP.

Hon. C. W. DICKSON.

Hon. G. W. F. LEYLAND.

Hon. R. SHAW (Acting Clerk of Council).

Hon. C. CLEMENTI (Acting Clerk of Council).

**THE COLONIAL SECRETARY** laid on the table the Report of the Finance Committee (No. 15) and moved its adoption.

**THE COLONIAL TREASURER** seconded, and the motion was agreed to.

**EUROPEAN RESERVATION AT KOWLOON.**

**THE COLONIAL SECRETARY** laid the following letter on the table:

Douglas Street, 4th September, 1902.

Sir,—I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of Major-General Gascoigne's despatch (No. 32) of the 18th of July on the subject of a proposed European reservation near Kowloon.

I entirely approve the principle of the establishment of a reservation in which persons of European race and healthy mode of life will be safe from the risk of infection due to the neighbourhood of lower classes of Chinese with unsanitary habits, but I am not prepared to accept Major-General Gascoigne's view, as expressed in paragraph 6 of despatch, that such a scheme should be used to enable the European section of the community to obtain low rents to the exclusion of Chinese of good standing.

3. While, therefore, I am willing to consent to the reservation of the area in question, I consider that the idea of being confined to Europeans it should be open to all persons, whether European or Chinese, who are approved by the Governor.

I have the honour to be, Sir, your most obedient, humble servant.

(Sd.) J. CHAMBERLAIN.

Governor Sir H. A. BLAKE, G.C.M.G.

**THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION.**

**THE COLONIAL SECRETARY**—I have also to mention, with reference to the request of certain unofficial members to see the correspondence relating to the Public Works Commission, that that correspondence will not be laid because it is not yet completed, another communication having been addressed to the Secretary of State; but if any member wishes to see the correspondence I shall be happy to show it in my office.

**THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUILDINGS BILL.**

**THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL**—I am going to ask your Excellency's permission to ask that the Council to consider the Bill which I introduced, dealing with the questions of public health and buildings, last July. Since that Bill was introduced the matter has been under the further consideration of this Government, and it has been considerably altered, very much, as we think, for the better. Instead of making the alterations in Committee on that Bill, I returned to me it would be better to withdraw the Bill altogether and introduce a fresh Bill dealing with the subject. Therefore I ask first of all permission to withdraw that Bill with the object of introducing another Bill dealing with the same subject.

**HIS EXCELLENCY**—You have that permission.

**THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL**—Then I beg leave to introduce and read for the first time a Bill entitled an Ordinance to consolidate and amend the Laws relating to Public Health and to Buildings.

**THE COLONIAL SECRETARY** seconded, and the motion was agreed to.

**OTHER FIRST READINGS.**

On this motion of the **ATTORNEY-GENERAL**, seconded by the **COLONIAL SECRETARY**, the following Bills were read a first time:—A Bill entitled an Ordinance to amend the Law relating to Employers and Servants; a Bill entitled an Ordinance to amend the Law relating to collisions between Junks and Ships; and a Bill entitled The New Territories Titles Ordinance.

**DEFINITION OF AN "ALIEN."**

**THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL** moved the first reading of a Bill entitled an Ordinance relating to the Naturalisation of Aliens.

**THE COLONIAL SECRETARY** seconded.

Hon. H. SHAW—May I ask, sir, what is the definition of the word "alien"?

**HIS EXCELLENCY**—That will be explained. I think, on the second reading, as a matter of fact, these words are simply for the purpose of bringing a Bill under the cognizance of hon. members and enabling them to see it.

Hon. Mr. SHAW—At the same time, sir, we wish to know the definition of the word "alien."

**THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL**—It means alien and nothing else. An alien is one who does not hold allegiance to the Crown.

The motion was agreed to.

The Council adjourned sine die.

## ENQUIRY INTO COLLAPSES OF HOUSES.

**KOWLOON CITY ROAD.**

The enquiry into the fatal collapse of houses in Kowloon City Road on 18th July last was resumed yesterday afternoon before Mr. F. A. HAZELAND, Mr. R. L. DENNY, Acting Crown Solicitor, appeared on behalf of the Government, while Mr. H. W. L. GORDON, representing the architect, Messrs. Leigh & Orange, and Mr. C. D. WILKINSON, the contractor, the Loong Cheong firm.

H. E. H. HAZELAND, Esq., P.W.D. (Inspector), stated that on 11th March there was an application handed to him under Section 53 of the Building Ordinance, by Messrs. Leigh & Orange, for a certificate for houses on Lot 1107. It was submitted to him by Mr. Gordon, and passed on to Mr. Crip for inspection. The words "Certainly be granted" were placed on the application by Mr. Crip, and accordingly the certificate was granted. Up till that time, witness had not made any inspection of the houses on this lot. On 19th July he heard that Nos. 30 and 32, Kowloon City Road, had collapsed, in consequence of this being over and, respected, the premises. He arrived about 10.30 a.m. There were several people present when he arrived. Mr. Crip was there and the police were in charge. The walls of the two houses, situated on the same piece of land, had fallen down and the floors and roofs had given way. Looking at the two houses in the morning, he saw the collapse, and came to the conclusion that the side wall of No. 32 had fallen first.

At that time he examined the fallen bricks and found them to be hard; he did not observe any soft bricks amongst them. His inspection was made separately from that of Mr. Crip, and the two bricks taken away by the latter from the debris were a fair specimen of the bricks used in the construction of the houses. Witness examined the remaining brickwork of both houses, and it appeared to be hard and not unduly wet. He was of opinion that the wall of No. 32, which fell again, and brought down the wall of No. 30, collapsed owing to the typhoon. He was also of opinion that the houses were built more strongly than most Chinese houses.

But none of these other Chinese houses came down. How do you explain that?—There might have been some other cause at work here. What other cause? Just tell us what you saw.—Well, the remaining portion of the wall of No. 30 was out of plumb by 1 1/2 inches.

What do you think caused it to be out of plumb?—I had probably been built that way. Was the remaining portion of the wall of No. 32 out of plumb?—No.

Was there any sinking of the foundations that you saw?—I did not examine the foundations; the debris was in the way.

Continuing, witness said that when he examined the northern wall on Lot 1108, he found it to be badly bonded and hollow in parts. He wanted the whole wall to be pulled down and reported to that effect to Mr. Gordon; he was of opinion that the foundations had sunk, excepting a portion at the back, the kitchen portion, the wall was subsequently pulled down, but after it had been rebuilt a part of it appeared to be out of plumb, through its being bonded to meet the portion that had not been taken down. Witness had not examined the wall since 19th July, and could not therefore say whether any fresh cracks had appeared; a corner of fact he had not seen any of the houses since 19th July.

By Mr. Gordon—The bricks of the collapsed wall at No. 30 fell principally into the house (No. 30) itself, and those of No. 32 into the lane. As far as witness could see—he was unable to get inside—there appeared to be comparatively few bricks in No. 32. There was no particular way of distinguishing between the bricks used in the two houses. The remaining portion of the wall of No. 30 showed many signs of having been hit with bricks, but No. 32 not to the same extent. Witness said that No. 32 fell first; the remaining portion of the wall of this house was in plumb. Softening of the mortar—the theory advanced by Mr. Leigh—would result in the wall being out of plumb outwardly. The wall of No. 30 was probably out of plumb when the certificate from the P.W.D. was issued on 10th March, four months before the collapse. The wall was an inch and a quarter out of plumb in seven feet. The fault should have been noticeable to a trained eye.

Now, Mr. Crip's is a trained eye?—I suppose so.

And Mr. Leigh's?—Yes.

And they both inspected the wall?—Yes.

And don't you think it probable that if the wall had been 1 1/2 inches out of plumb in seven feet, they would have noticed it?—Yes.

In answer to another question by Mr. Gordon, witness said that he believed the northern wall on Lot 1108, which showed signs of cracking, was pulled down on the initiative of the architect.

By Mr. Wilkinson—Mr. Crip had no right to pass a wall which was out of plumb, and having passed it, it was either a case of Mr. Crip neglecting his duty or of the wall being in plumb.

And don't you think it is more reasonable to suppose that the wall became out of plumb subsequent to Mr. Crip's inspection?—Yes.

By Mr. Denny—Witness should say that the wall began to go out of plumb from the ground level. If the softening of the bricks and mortar caused the wall to go out of plumb, that softening must have taken place at the level of the ground. The wall was out of plumb when witness saw it on 19th July. Mr. Crip had already noticed it. The reconstructed wall was out of plumb, but it had been pulled down as a consequence of the cracks in the wall had not prevented its going out of plumb outwardly.

By Mr. Gordon—It was a fact that one of the tie-rods had been pulled clean through the wall; that tie-rod had probably been inserted when the wall was out of plumb. Witness did not know whether the northern wall on Lot 1108 was now out of plumb; it was out of plumb on 19th July. He would be surprised to hear that the wall, which, so far as he knew, had not since been rebuilt, was plumb two days ago and found to be all right.

By Mr. Denny—The gable walls of the two houses, Nos. 30 and 32, were 50 feet high and 6 feet long. A wind from W.N.W. would blow over No. 32 and catch No. 30 at the top only.

By Mr. Wilkinson—The ground floor of No. 30 would not get so wet from the rain as the top floor.

By a juror—It was not witness's personal duty to examine the houses before the certificate had been granted by the P.W.D. The plaster on the wall, though half-an-inch in thickness, would not prevent the rain from penetrating to the outside face of the brickwork; it would not, however, go right through.

Mr. Wilkinson—You have known of cases in this Colony where the rain has penetrated through the house, and wetted the inner plaster?

Witness—Yes.

Mr. Denny—But none of them came down in the typhoon?

Witness—No, so far I know.

The enquiry was adjourned till this afternoon at 2.15.

## SUPREME COURT.

Thursday, 6th November.

In Original Jurisdiction.

Before His Honour A. G. WISE.

(PUNISHMENT).

HONGKONG STEAM LAUNCH CO., LD.

In the matter of the Hongkong Steam Launch Co., Ltd., recently wound up voluntarily by an order of the Court.

Mr. T. Morgan Phillips, barrister-at-law (instructed by Mr. H. W. L. Gordon, solicitor), applied under the Companies Ordinance 1865 to 1899 for the appointment of a liquidator: an affidavit had been filed by Mr. Gordon in support of the application. Mr. A. R. Lowe was nominated as liquidator.

His Lordship asked what the position of the Company was? Were there any assets and what were the securities?

Mr. Morgan Phillips said that three launches were now the property of the Company; Mr. Gordon was the sole owner.

His Lordship asked if the owner had agreed to this?

Mr. Morgan Phillips replied that he had; he was the Company.

In reply to His Lordship.

Mr. Morgan Phillips further stated that the assets of the Company, amounted, roughly, to £10,000.

His Lordship fixed the liquidator's security at this amount.

The Court adjourned.

## IN APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

Before His Honour W. MELOH GOODMAN (Chief Justice).

## LAND COURT APPEAL.

This was a motion by Ng Yik Shan as trustee for the Ng family for leave to appeal against a decision of the Land Court, under the New Territories Land Court Ordinance, 1902, with reference to the lease of a tract of land near Kowloon City.

Mr. E. H. Sharp, K.C., who (instructed by Mr. G. K. H. Britton of Messrs. Mounsey &amp; Britton, solicitors) appeared for the appellant, said that his case was that the Wong family to whom the land in question had been awarded by the Land Court were tenants of the Ng family. The Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which the appellant was trustee, and the only point between the two families was that the Wongs claimed this land not as owners out-and-out but under a perpetual lease, which they produced before the Land Court, dated 1801, from the Ng family. It was common ground that the Ng family were the owners of the land, and that they were tenants of the Ng family. The Wongs claimed a perpetual lease of the land, and the Ng family was the owner of this land and the Ng family for which



Communications respecting Advertisements, subscriptions, Printing, Binding, &c., should be addressed to the Daily Press only, and special business matters to the Manager. Advertisements and Subscriptions which are not ordered for a fixed period will be continued until countermand. Orders for extra copies of DAILY PRESS should be sent before 11 a.m. on day of publication. After that hour the supply is limited. Only supplied for Cash. Telegraphic Address: Press, Codes: A.B.C., 5th Ed. P.O. Box 38. Telephone No. 12.

## NEW ADVERTISEMENTS

**WANTED TO RENT.**  
FOUR OR FIVE ROOMED FURNISHED HOUSE from 15th November on 1st December.  
Apply to—  
C. T. & M.,  
Care of Daily Press Office,  
Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2975]

**A GOOD CLERK, STENOGRAPHER, and TYPEWRITER**, one with slight knowledge of Book-keeping preferred. A good salary will be given to a reliable, experienced and well qualified man.  
Address, stating experience, qualifications, copies of Testimonials and salary required to—  
"Q. E. D."  
Care of Messrs. Kelly & Walsh, Ltd.,  
Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2977]

**THE** Proprietor of the above desires that all Claims ending 31st October last be PAID ON 12th NOVEMBER at Messrs. S. NARUMI & CO., 14, Praya East, Whampoa. Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2976]

**GODOWNS** No. 539, DES VUEX ROAD, on Marine Lot No. 481, on a monthly tenancy of 1st March, 1903. Possession from 1st December next.  
KELLY & WALSH, MOUNT KELLY, on a monthly tenancy.  
HISNIE VILLA, FOKULUM ROAD, 29, MOSQUE STREET, Ground Floor.  
Apply to—  
LINSTAD & DAVIS,  
Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2972]

**WALDORF.**  
MONDAY, MONDAY,  
17th NOVEMBER, 17th NOVEMBER.

**WALDORF.**  
Supported by Mr. NORVAL MCGREGOR and a Company of Twenty-six Artists.

**WALDORF.**  
"Miss WALDORF will yet wear the Laurels that are now divided between Marlow and Rehan." *Portland Telegraph.*

**WALDORF.**  
Box plan opens at ROBINSON'S SATURDAY, 8th inst.

**SPECIAL TRAM AND FERRY SERVICE.**

Prices ... .. \$3, \$2, & \$1.

**ARTHUR SEYMOUR,**  
Representative.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2974]

**THE REGISTRATION OF TRADE MARKS ORDINANCE, 1896.**

APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION OF TRADE MARK.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that GOTTLIEB TAUSIG of No. 213, Schenkman Street, Vienna, Austria, has on the 28th day of October, 1902, applied for the registration in Hongkong in the Register of TRADE MARKS, of the following TRADE MARK: A design of flowers with a Star in the centre containing a basket of flowers with an S on each alternate point of the Star and the words "Tollith Soifen and Parfumerie Fabrik, Gottlieb Tausig, Wien, and underneath the basket monogram G.T. in the name of GOTTLIEB TAUSIG, who claims to be the proprietor thereof. The TRADE MARK has been used by the applicant, since about 1892 in respect of the following goods: Soap in Class 47. A facsimile of the TRADE MARK can be seen at the Office of the Colonial Secretary of Hongkong.

Dated the 6th day of November, 1902.

DENNIS & BOWLEY.

"BEA" LINE OF STEAMERS.

**FOR GENOA, LONDON AND ANTWERP.**

**THE** Steamship

"BENMOHR,"

Captain Wallace, will be despatched as above on or about the 15th December.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

GIBB, LIVINGSTON & CO.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2983]

**THE HONGKONG WEEKLY PRESS** will be ready to-morrow, and will contain—

Leading Article.

The China Association and Sir J.

Maclean's Treaty.

Britain and the Chongchow Murders.

The Whistler Question.

Trade of Kowloon and Lappa in 1901.

West River Trade in 1901.

Hongkong Sanitary Board.

Hongkong Coronation Contingent

Naval and Military Movements.

Runway into House Collapses.

The Chongchow Massacre Case.

Japan's Commercial Honour.

Canon.

Northern Notes.

Correspondence.

Singapore Court.

Inverport kills Match.

Shanghai Races.

Cricket.

Football.

Hockey.

Royal Hongkong Yacht Club.

Royal Hongkong Golf Club.

Hongkong and Port News.

Subscriptions, \$12 per Annum, payable in

advance, postage 30 cents each, Cash.

Copies can be posted from the Office to

addresses sent, including postage 34 cents each,

or \$1 for three copies, Cash.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1902.

## NEW ADVERTISEMENTS

**FACT STRANGER THAN FICTION!**

A Very Novel Series, entitled

**STRANGE**

**STORIES**

(TRUE) OF

**MANY**

**PEOPLES**

will commence on WEDNESDAY, 13th inst.,

in these columns.

The programme of the series of striking

subjects is as follows:

**DARK AFRICA.**

"CANNIBALS AND CHIMPANZES," by Sir H.

H. JOHNSTON (Ex-Governor of Uganda;

a famous exponent of all things concerning

Africa).

**RUSSIA.**

"DARK DEEDS IN THE TSAR'S DOMINIONS,"

by FRED WERHAW (Author of "A Boyar

of the Terrible," etc., and a resident for

many years in Russia).

**CHINA.**

"LOVE AND COURTESHIP AMONG THE CELESTIALS,"

by "JA JI BIN" (Miss COWLING,

for long a Chinese resident).

**NORWAY.**

"MAKING A LIVING IN NORWAY," by Sir H.

E. MAXWELL Hart, M.P. (Author of

"Meridian," "The House of Douglas," &c.).

&c. &c.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2971]

**FOR SINGAPORE, PENANG AND**

**CALCUTTA.**

**THE** Steamship

"CATHERINE APCAR,"

Captain, S. H. BOLSON, will be despatched for

the above ports on THURSDAY, the 13th

instant, at 3 p.m.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

DAVID SASSOON & CO., LD.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902. [2970]

**NORTHERN PACIFIC STEAMSHIP**

**COMPANY.**

**NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.**

**STEAMSHIP "TACOMA,"**

FROM TACOMA, VICTORIA, YOKO-

HAMA, KOBE AND MOJI.

THE above Steamer having arrived, Con-

signees of Cargo are hereby requested to

send in their Bills of Lading for countersignature,

and to take immediate delivery of their

Goods from alongside.

Cargo impeding the discharge of the Vessel

will be landed and stored at Consignees' risk

and expense.

No Fire Insurance will be effected by us in

any case whatever.

DODWELL & CO., LD.,

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902. [7]

**OCEAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY.**

**CONSIGNEES per Company's Steamer**

**"TANTALUS"**

are hereby notified that the Cargo is being

discharged into Craft, and/or landed at the

Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf

and Godown Company, Ltd., in both cases it

will be at Consignees' risk. The Cargo will be

ready for delivery from Craft or Godown on

and after the 7th instant.

Optional Cargo will be landed, unless notice

has been given prior to steamer's arrival.

Goods undelivered after the 14th inst.

will be subject to rent. All damaged Goods

must be left in the Godowns, where they will be

examined at 11 a.m. on the 15th inst.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.

BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE,

Agents.

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902. [11]

**NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.**

**FROM CALCUTTA, PENANG AND**

**SINGAPORE.**

**THE** Steamship

"CATHERINE APCAR,"

having arrived from the above ports, Consignees

of Cargo are hereby informed that their Goods

will be delivered from alongside.

Cargo impeding the discharge will be landed

at once at Consignees' risk and expense.

Cargo remaining on board after 4 p.m. on the

10th inst., will be landed at Consignees' risk

## ENTERTAINMENTS

**WARREN'S GRAND**

**AMERICAN CIRCUS**

ON THE

PRAYA, FACING THE CENTRAL

MARKET.

**GRAND RE-OPENING NIGHT**

**TO-MORROW (SATURDAY),**

**8th NOVEMBER.**

**THE FAMOUS LUCIFER FAMILY.**

**LUCIFER,**

THE MODERN SANDOW, IN WONDERFUL

WEIGHT-LIFTING ACTS AND FEATS

OF STRENGTH.

**MISS EMILY LUCIFER,**

DELIGHTFUL SONGSTRESS AND CONTO-

RESTIONIST EXTRAORDINARY.

**MISS MARY BELL,**

QUEEN OF THE INVISIBLE WIRE.

**NINY,**

THE FAMOUS CONTINENTAL CLOWN; YOKED

THE MERRIEST MEMBER OF BARNUM

**MULLE ROSE,**

WITH HER MARVELLOUS PERFORMING DOGS

**THE TERRIBLE DOGS,**

FENTON, THE LEG-SPLITTING TOCKEY,

IN DARING ACTS OF HOUSEMANSHIP.

**HARRIGAN THE TRAMP BICYCLIST,**

CENTU JUGGLER ULTRA DEXTEROUS.

**MIDLE DIXON,** CHARMING EQUESTRIENNE

AND DANCER.

**MISS IVY WARREN,**

IN HER ASTONISHING TAPPEZ ACT.

**MME. BELL AND LE JETIC DICK,** THE

HORIZONTAL BAR CHAMPIONS.

**AUGUSTE THE FOOL,**

**DENNIS,** THOUGH BIG, IS AN INTELLIGENT

PIG.

SEE THE BLONDIN MONKEY

AND THE KICKING MULE.

**BEAUTIFUL WARDROBES**

**THE ENTERTAINMENT SUCCESS OF**

**"THE 20th CENTURY."**

Box Plan at the ROBINSON PIANO CO.

Commencing SATURDAY, at 9 p.m.,

PROMPTLY.

Prices of Admission:—

Private Box to hold Six Persons \$15

Box Seats ... .. 3

Dress Circle ... .. 2

Carpeted Seats ... .. 1

Gallery (for Children only) ... .. 0.50

(Sellers and Sailors in Uniform Half-Price.)

SPECIAL SEATS RESERVED FOR CHINESE

LADIES.

**MATINEES EVERY WEDNESDAY AND**

**SATURDAY (Children Half-Price).**

**GEO. WARREN,**

Sole Proprietor.

**KING EDWARD VII.**

In Commemoration of the 61st Anniversary

of the Birth of Edward VII. King of Great

Britain and her Dependencies, Emperor of

India.

**WARREN'S CIRCUS**

will give a SPECIAL MATINEE PER-

FORMANCE on MONDAY, the 10th inst.,

commencing at 3.30 p.m.

PRICES AS ADVERTISED ABOVE.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1902. [2951]

**THEATRE ROYAL,**

**CITY HALL.**

**THE HONGKONG AMATEUR**

**DRAMATIC CLUB**

will give Performances of

"LIBERTY HALL"

on

THURSDAY, 13th NOVEMBER, 1902

FRIDAY, 14th NOVEMBER, 1902

SATURDAY, 15th NOVEMBER, 1902

Commencing each Evening at 9 precisely.

Dress Circle ... .. \$3.00

Orchestra Stalls ... .. 3.00

Stalls ... .. 2.00

No Pit and no Half-prices.

Tickets can be obtained at the Booking Office

of the Theatre, City Hall, on and after FRIDAY,

7th November, at 10 a.m.

Booking Office will be open daily from that

date from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m.

Late trains will run a quarter of an hour

after the fall of the curtain.

Hongkong, 27th October, 1902. [2853]

## CHEONG SHING

GENERAL EXPORTERS.

DEALERS IN

JEWELLERY, DIAMONDS, PEARLS,

PRECIOUS STONES, SILKS, IVORY

WARES, EMBROIDERY, AND

CHINESE CURIOS.



HONGKONG  
BUSINESS DIRECTORY.

**BOOKBINDING**  
DAILY PRESS OFFICE.  
The only office in China having European  
taught workmen. Equal to Home Work.

**FURNITURE WAREHOUSEMEN**  
A CHEE & CO. Established 1859.  
Every Household Requisite. Depot for  
Eastman's Kodak Films and Accessories;  
17a, Queen's Road Central.

**JEWELLERS**  
MAISON LEVY HERMANOS.  
Diamond Merchants and Watchmakers, 40  
Watson's Building, Queen's Road. Also  
at Shanghai, Manila, Paris and Hioio.

**PHOTOGRAPHER**  
M. MUMBY, JAPANESE ARTIST.  
Bromide and Crayon Enlargements and  
also colouring Photos and relief Photos.  
Views of China and Manila. Work  
done for Amateurs; No. 8a, Queen's  
Road Central.

**PRINTING**  
DAILY PRESS OFFICE.  
Proofs read by Englishmen.  
STOREKEEPERS

**T. BLACKHEAD & CO.**  
Navy Contractors, Shipchandlers, Sail-  
makers, Provision and Coal Merchants,  
Praya Central, next Hongkong Hotel.

**BISMARCK & CO.**  
Navy Contractors, Ship Chandlers,  
Provision and Coal Merchants, Sail-  
makers, &c. Fresh Water supplied to  
Vessels in the Harbour.

**KWONG SANG & CO.**  
Shipchandlers, Sailmakers, Provisioners,  
Coal Merchants, Hardware, Engineer  
Tools, Brass and Iron Merchants,  
144, Des Voeux Road.

**MOORE & REIMUND.**  
43 and 45, Des Voeux Road. Shipchandlers,  
Sailmakers, Riggers, Commission Agents  
and General Storekeepers; Sole Agents  
for Shipowners' Commission ("Grey-  
hound Brand") and Blundell's  
Spence & Co.'s Commission.

**WATCHMAKERS**  
DROZ & CO.,  
14, Queen's Road Central. Repairs of  
Watches and Clocks by competent  
European experts at moderate rates.

## KING'S BIRTHDAY.

In accordance with Government Notification  
No. 650, the Undersigned Banks will be  
CLOSED for the transaction of Public  
Business on MONDAY, the 10th instant.  
For the CHARTERED BANK OF INDIA,  
AUSTRALIA AND CHINA,  
T. P. GOUGHMAN.

Acting Manager, Hongkong,  
For the HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING  
CORPORATION.  
J. R. M. SMITH,  
Chief Manager.

For the NATIONAL BANK OF CHINA,  
LIMITED.  
GEO. W. F. PLAYFAIR,  
Chief Manager.

For the MERCHANT BANK OF INDIA,  
LIMITED.  
EVAN ORMISTON,  
Acting Manager, Hongkong.

For the BANQUE DE L'INDO-CHINE,  
Hongkong Agency,  
G. MAYER,  
Manager.

For the YOKOHAMA SPECIE BANK, LIMITED,  
TARO HODSUMI,  
Manager.

For the IMPERIAL BANK OF CHINA,  
F. P. HALLIOLD,  
Acting Manager.

For the DEUTSCH-ASIATISCHER BANK,  
H. FIGUE,  
Manager.

For the Russo-Chinese Bank,  
J. W. E. TAYLOR,  
Representative in Hongkong.

For the GUANTEE TRUST COMPANY OF  
NEW YORK,  
M. G. EVANS,  
Acting Manager.

Hongkong, 4th November, 1902. [2957]

GOVERNMENT GENERAL OF  
FRENCH INDO-CHINA.THE  
HANOI  
EXPOSITION

WILL BE OPENED ON  
10TH NOVEMBER, 1902.

THE Exposition, which is situated close to  
the Railway terminus at Hanoi, will com-  
prise a GRAND PALACE and MAGNIFI-  
CENT BUILDING, containing Artistic,  
Commercial, Agricultural and Industrial  
Productions of the great variety from  
France and her Colonies (1st section); French  
Indo-China (2nd section); and the countries of  
the Far East—China, Japan, Philippines, Siam,  
Netherlands India, British India, Straits  
Settlements, Burma, &c. (3rd section).

THE WEATHER in TONKIN during the  
months of November, December, January, and  
February, is mild and inviting and may be  
compared to a winter in New York.

THE GALLERY OF FINE ARTS will  
contain more than 500 Pictures, and will be  
organised under the direction of the Inspec-  
tion-General of Fine Arts, Paris.

SPECIAL EXCURSIONS by Railways  
and Steamers in the three Places of Interest in  
Indo-China (Cochin-China, Tonkin, Laos, Cam-  
bodge, Annam) will be organised at reasonable  
prices.

ENTRANCES TO ALL KINDS  
Military Bands, Theatrical Troupes, Concerts,  
Acrobatic Sports, Ballroom Assemblies, Fire-  
works, &c., will be provided.

REDUCED RATES will be charged by  
all Steamship Lines running to Haiphong,  
from whence Hanoi may be reached in a few  
hours by Railway or Steamer.

NUMEROUS First-Class HOTELS and  
CAFES assure every accommodation to visitors  
at moderate prices.

For FURTHER INFORMATION apply  
to the French Consulate in the East.  
Commissioner-General of the Exposition,  
M. de BUNEL,  
Hanoi, 1st July, 1902. [1890]

## CHESS NOTES.

BY F. E. Z.

The annual general meeting of the Hongkong  
Chess Club took place on Monday last, when  
the report and balance-sheet of the Club for the  
season just concluded were passed. Mr. H. E.  
Pollock, K.C., was unanimously elected Presi-  
dent of the Club, and the programme for the  
coming year was discussed. It is proposed to  
hold an international match, either Great Britain  
v. World or Portugal v. World, at the end of  
the present month, and also to have what is  
known at home as a "rapid transit" tourna-  
ment, i.e. with a time limit of half a minute per  
move, in the near future, if sufficient entries are  
received. The Club being in a flourishing con-  
dition financially should soon be able to recover  
from the depletion of members which it suffered  
during the past year.

The match between Mr. P. C. de Souza,  
challenger, and Mr. P. W. Sergeant, holder of  
the Pollock Challenge Cup, has just commenced.  
The contest is for the best of five games, and  
the score at present stands:—Sergeant 1, De  
Souza 0.

The world's champion, E. Lasker, who is  
said to be going to devote more time to chess  
than he has done of late, has gone to the  
United States to fulfil numerous chess en-  
gagements.

In the *Westminster Gazette* we find the  
following biography of the late M. Rosenthal:  
—A striking personality in the Paris chess  
world has disappeared with the death of M. S.  
Rosenthal. M. Rosenthal had a remarkable  
career. Born in a small village in Russian  
Poland, he was destined to a quiet family life,  
when the Revolution of 1848 broke out. An  
inexperienced youth (he was then twenty-seven  
years old), he threw in his lot with the Revolu-  
tionary Party, and in consequence had to leave  
his wife and seven children—he was married at  
the age of thirteen—to escape military service.  
He took refuge in Paris, Polish refugees being  
subsidised by the Government during the  
Empire. Being forcibly idle, he frequented the  
Café de la Régence, the rendezvous of the  
Polish colony in Paris, and commencing to  
play chess, he acquired in less than a twelve-  
month a local reputation. The famous Baron  
(then simple) Kolisch was urged to play him  
a match, but it was hardly likely that he could  
cope then with one of the greatest living  
masters. In later years he explained the  
defeat by saying that when he played the  
match with Kolisch he was so weak that "he  
could have given the odds of a Knight to  
himself." He progressed, however, rapidly, so  
that he could take part in the Paris Tourna-  
ment for the Emperor's prize in 1867, but he  
had to abandon several games owing to his  
family arriving then in Paris. After engaging  
in several tournaments and matches he aban-  
doned practical play and became famous as a  
Chess Professor in Paris society. The Duke  
of Brunswick, the same who left his millions  
to the town of Geneva, was one of his pupils;  
Prince Napoleon as well; in fact everybody  
who was somebody was a pupil of Rosenthal.  
He also wrote for *Le Strategie*, *La Revue des  
Jeux et des Arts*, *La Vie Moderne*, *La Ré-  
publique Française*, and up to within the time  
of his death in *Le Monde Illustré*.

On the 3rd ult., according to a Berlin tele-  
gram, there died another well known chess  
player in the person of Herr Carl Walbrodt,  
who was only 31 years of age. Nearly ten years  
ago he was quite in the front rank, though  
famous for his drawing propensities. He devoted  
himself to business instead of to  
chess, and so has fallen out of public view as a  
player.

The entries for the "A" Division (20 a-  
side) competition of the London Chess League  
this winter are the Athenium, Battersea,  
Bohemians, Brixton, East London, Hampstead,  
Insurance, Lee, Lond. Eagle, Metropolitan, North  
London, and West London Chess Clubs.

The following game occurred in the recent  
Norwich tournament for the Amateur Cham-  
pionship of England which Mr. H. E. Atkins  
lately resigned. In this game, Mr. R. P.  
Michell, the new amateur champion, inflicted  
on Mr. R. Loman his only defeat in the com-  
petition.

White.	Black.	White.	Black.
1. P-K4	1. P-K4	13. B-Q3	13. K-K5
2. P-K3	2. P-K3	14. P-K3	14. K-Q3
3. B-K2	3. B-K2	15. P-K3	15. P-K3
4. K-B3	4. K-B3	16. P-K3	16. P-K3
5. B-K2	5. B-K2	17. P-K3	17. P-K3
6. K-K3	6. K-K3	18. P-K3	18. P-K3
7. P-K4	7. P-K4	19. P-K3	19. P-K3
8. P-Q4	8. P-Q4	20. P-K3	20. P-K3
9. K-B3	9. K-B3	21. P-K3	21. P-K3
10. B-K2	10. B-K2	22. P-K3	22. P-K3
11. K-B3	11. K-B3	23. P-K3	23. P-K3
12. P-K3	12. P-K3	24. P-K3	24. P-K3
13. Q-K2	13. Q-K2	25. P-K3	25. P-K3
14. K-B3	14. K-B3	26. P-K3	26. P-K3
15. P-K3	15. P-K3	27. P-K3	27. P-K3
16. P-K3	16. P-K3	28. P-K3	28. P-K3
17. Q-B3	17. Q-B3	29. P-K3	29. P-K3
18. P-K3	18. P-K3	30. P-K3	30. P-K3
19. P-K3	19. P-K3	31. P-K3	31. P-K3
20. P-K3	20. P-K3	32. P-K3	32. P-K3
21. P-K3	21. P-K3	33. P-K3	33. P-K3
22. P-K3	22. P-K3	34. P-K3	34. P-K3
23. P-K3	23. P-K3	35. P-K3	35. P-K3
24. P-K3	24. P-K3	36. P-K3	36. P-K3
25. P-K3	25. P-K3	37. P-K3	37. P-K3
26. P-K3	26. P-K3	38. P-K3	38. P-K3
27. P-K3	27. P-K3	39. P-K3	39. P-K3
28. P-K3	28. P-K3	40. P-K3	40. P-K3
29. P-K3	29. P-K3	41. P-K3	41. P-K3
30. P-K3	30. P-K3	42. P-K3	42. P-K3
31. P-K3	31. P-K3	43. P-K3	43. P-K3
32. P-K3	32. P-K3	44. P-K3	44. P-K3
33. P-K3	33. P-K3	45. P-K3	45. P-K3
34. P-K3	34. P-K3	46. P-K3	46. P-K3
35. P-K3	35. P-K3	47. P-K3	47. P-K3
36. P-K3	36. P-K3	48. P-K3	48. P-K3
37. P-K3	37. P-K3	49. P-K3	49. P-K3
38. P-K3	38. P-K3	50. P-K3	50. P-K3
39. P-K3	39. P-K3	51. P-K3	51. P-K3
40. P-K3	40. P-K3	52. P-K3	52. P-K3
41. P-K3	41. P-K3	53. P-K3	53. P-K3
42. P-K3	42. P-K3	54. P-K3	54. P-K3
43. P-K3	43. P-K3	55. P-K3	55. P-K3
44. P-K3	44. P-K3	56. P-K3	56. P-K3
45. P-K3	45. P-K3	57. P-K3	57. P-K3
46. P-K3	46. P-K3	58. P-K3	58. P-K3
47. P-K3	47. P-K3	59. P-K3	59. P-K3
48. P-K3	48. P-K3	60. P-K3	60. P-K3
49. P-K3	49. P-K3	61. P-K3	61. P-K3
50. P-K3	50. P-K3	62. P-K3	62. P-K3
51. P-K3	51. P-K3	63. P-K3	63. P-K3
52. P-K3	52. P-K3	64. P-K3	64. P-K3
53. P-K3	53. P-K3	65. P-K3	65. P-K3
54. P-K3	54. P-K3	66. P-K3	66. P-K3
55. P-K3	55. P-K3	67. P-K3	67. P-K3
56. P-K3	56. P-K3	68. P-K3	68. P-K3
57. P-K3	57. P-K3	69. P-K3	69. P-K3
58. P-K3	58. P-K3	70. P-K3	70. P-K3
59. P-K3	59. P-K3	71. P-K3	71. P-K3
60. P-K3	60. P-K3	72. P-K3	72. P-K3
61. P-K3	61. P-K3	73. P-K3	73. P-K3
62. P-K3	62. P-K3	74. P-K3	74. P-K3
63. P-K3	63. P-K3	75. P-K3	75. P-K3
64. P-K3	64. P-K3	76. P-K3	76. P-K3
65. P-K3	65. P-K3	77. P-K3	77. P-K3
66. P-K3	66. P-K3	78. P-K3	78. P-K3
67. P-K3	67. P-K3	79. P-K3	79. P-K3
68. P-K3	68. P-K3	80. P-K3	80. P-K3
69. P-K3	69. P-K3	81. P-K3	81. P-K3
70. P-K3	70. P-K3	82. P-K3	82. P-K3
71. P-K3	71. P-K3	83. P-K3	83. P-K3
72. P-K3	72. P-K3	84. P-K3	84. P-K3
73. P-K3	73. P-K3	85. P-K3	85. P-K3
74. P-K3	74. P-K3	86. P-K3	86. P-K3
75. P-K3	75. P-K3	87. P-K3	87. P-K3
76. P-K3	76. P-K3	88. P-K3	88. P-K3
77. P-K3	77. P-K3	89. P-K3	89. P-K3
78. P-K3	78. P-K3	90. P-K3	90. P-K3
79. P-K3	79. P-K3	91. P-K3	91. P-K3
80. P-K3	80. P-K3	92. P-K3	92. P-K3
81. P-K3	81. P-K3	93. P-K3	93. P-K3
82. P-K3	82. P-K3	94. P-K3	94. P-K3
83. P-K3	83. P-K3	95. P-K3	95. P-K3
84. P-K3	84. P-K3	96. P-K3	96. P-K3
85. P-K3	85. P-K3	97. P-K3	97. P-K3
86. P-K3	86. P-K3	98. P-K3	98. P-K3
87. P-K3	87. P-K3	99. P-K3	99. P-K3
88. P-K3	88. P-K3	100. P-K3	100. P-K3

(c) Mr. Loman gets the worst of his Bishop's Gam-  
bit, Black playing a sound and forcible defence. 6  
Kt-K3 is rather inferior to 6 Kt-Q3. 7 Q-  
K2 is bad, too. Black rightly attacks the weak  
centre by B-Q4, &c. By 23—P-B3 and 24—  
Rt. Kt the game is well finished.

The following is one of the late M.  
Rosenthal's games at odds, the deceased having  
conceded to his opponent the Queen's Knight.

White.	Black.	White.	Black.
1. P-K4	1. P-K4	13. B-Q3	13. K-K5
2. P-K3	2. P-K3	14. P-K3	14. K-Q3
3. B-K2	3. B-K2	15. P-K3	15. P-K3
4. K-B3	4. K-B3	16. P-K3	16. P-K3
5. B-K2	5. B-K2	17. P-K3	17. P-K3
6. K-K3	6. K-K3	18. P-K3	18. P-K3
7. P-K4	7. P-K4	19. P-K3	19. P-K3
8. P-Q4	8. P-Q4	20. P-K3	20. P-K3
9. K-B3	9. K-B3	21. P-K3	21. P-K3
10. B-K2	10. B-K2	22. P-K3	22. P-K3
11. K-B3	11. K-B3	23. P-K3	23. P-K3
12. P-K3	12. P-K3	24. P-K3	24. P-K3
13. Q-K2	13. Q-K2	25. P-K3	25. P-K3
14. K-B3	14. K-B3	26. P-K3	26. P-K3
15. P-K3	15. P-K3	27. P-K3	27. P-K3
16. P-K3	16. P-K3	28. P-K3	28. P-K3
17. Q-B3	17. Q-B3	29. P-K3	29. P-K3
18. P-K3	18. P-K3	30. P-K3	30. P-K3
19. P-K3	19. P-K3	31. P-K3	31. P-K3
20. P-K3	20. P-K3	32. P-K3	32. P-K3
21. P-K3	21. P-K3	33. P-K3	33. P-K3
22. P-K3	22. P-K3	34. P-K3	34. P-K3
23. P-K3	23. P-K3	35. P-K3	35. P-K3
24. P-K3	24. P-K3	36. P-K3	36. P-K3
25. P-K3	25. P-K3	37. P-K3	37. P-K3
26. P-K3	26. P-K3	38. P-K3	38. P-K3
27. P-K3	27. P-K3	39. P-K3	39. P-K3
28. P-K3	28. P-K3	40. P-K3	40. P-K3
29. P-K3	29. P-K3	41. P-K3	41. P-K3
30. P-K3	30. P-K3	42. P-K3	42. P-K3
31. P-K3	31. P-K3	43. P-K3	43. P-K3
32. P-K3	32. P-K3	44. P-K3	44. P-K3
33. P-K3	33. P-K3	45. P-K3	45. P-K3
34. P-K3	34. P-K3	46. P-K3	46. P-K3
35. P-K3	35. P-K3	47. P-K3	47. P-K3
36. P-K3	36. P-K3	48. P-K3	48. P-K3
37. P-K3	37. P-K3	49. P-K3	49. P-K3
38. P-K3	38. P-K3	50. P-K3	50. P-K3
39. P-K3	39. P-K3	51. P-K3	51. P-K3
40. P-K3	40. P-K3	52. P-K3	52. P-K3
41. P-K3	41. P-K3	53. P-K3	53. P-K3
42. P-K3	42. P-K3	54. P-K3	54. P-K3
43. P-K3	43. P-K3	55. P-K3	55. P-K3
44. P-K3	44. P-K3	56. P-K3	56. P-K3
45. P-K3	45. P-K3	57. P-K3	57. P-K3
46. P-K3	46. P-K3	58. P-K3	58. P-K3
47. P-K3	47. P-K3	59. P-K3	59. P-K3
48. P-K3	48. P-K3	60. P-K3	60. P-K3
49. P-K3	49. P-K3	61. P-K3	61. P-K3
50. P-K3	50. P-K3	62. P-K3	62. P-K3
51. P-K3	51. P-K3	63. P-K3	63. P-K3
52. P-K3	52. P-K3	64. P-K3	64. P-K3
53. P-K3	53. P-K3	65. P-K3	65. P-K3
54. P-K3	54. P-K3	66. P-K3	66. P-K3
55. P-K3	55. P-K3	67. P-K3	67. P-K3
56. P-K3	56. P-K3</		



## VESSELS ADVERTISED AS LOADING.

To ascertain the anchorage of any Vessel, the Harbour has been divided into Four Sections, commencing from Green Island. Vessels anchoring nearest Kowloon are in Section 1, nearest Hongkong, midway between Hongkong and Kowloon, and those vessels berthed at the Kowloon Wharf are in Section 4.

1. From Green Island to the Harbour Master's.
2. From Harbour Master's to Blake Pier.
3. From Blake Pier to Naval Yard.
4. From Naval Yard to East Point.

DESTINATION	VESSEL'S NAME	FLAG & REG.	MASTER	CAPTAIN	FOR FREIGHT APPLY TO	TO BE DESPATCHED
LONDON, &c. via Ports of Call.	CHUSAN	Brit. str.	—	C. L. Daniel	P. & O. S. N. Co.	To-morrow, at Noon.
LONDON, &c. via SUEZ CANAL	MARLAUS	Brit. str.	—	Stevenson, R.N.R.	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 11th inst.
LONDON, &c. via SUEZ CANAL	GLORANNEY	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 14th inst.
LONDON, &c. via SUEZ CANAL	AGAMENON	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 25th inst.
LONDON, &c. via SUEZ CANAL	ULYSES	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 23rd December.
LONDON, &c. via SUEZ CANAL	PEREUS	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 26th January.
LONDON, &c. via SUEZ CANAL	ANTHONY	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 29th January.
LIVERPOOL DIRECT	TASTALUS	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 9th December.
AMSTERDAM & LONDON	PINGSUKY	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 10th inst.
LIVERPOOL DIRECT	DEUCALION	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 20th inst.
LIVERPOOL DIRECT	ALCINOUS	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 20th December.
MARSEILLES, LONDON & ANTWERP, v. S'PORE &c.	HITACHI MARU	Brit. str.	—	J. Cam. bell	NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA	On 15th inst., at Daylight.
MARSEILLES, LONDON & ANTWERP, v. S'PORE &c.	JAVA	Brit. str.	—	G. W. Gordon, R.N.R.	P. & O. S. N. Co.	On 14th inst., at Noon.
MARSEILLES, LONDON & ANTWERP, v. S'PORE &c.	ANNAM	Brit. str.	—	G. W. Gordon, R.N.R.	P. & O. S. N. Co.	On 17th inst., at 1 p.m.
MARSEILLES, LONDON & ANTWERP, v. S'PORE &c.	WAKABA MARU	Brit. str.	—	W. Frank	NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA	On 12th inst., at Daylight.
HAYRE, BREMEN & HAMBURG	SACHSEN	Ger. str.	—	Jaeger	HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE	To-morrow.
HAYRE, BREMEN & HAMBURG	SEBASTIA	Ger. str.	—	Brehmer	HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE	On 19th inst.
HAYRE, BREMEN & HAMBURG	MAERKING	Ger. str.	—	Neidermeyer	HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE	On 3rd December.
HAYRE, BREMEN & HAMBURG	SUEVIA	Ger. str.	—	Borok	HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE	On 17th December.
HAYRE, BREMEN & HAMBURG	ALLESIA	Ger. str.	—	Schoenfeldt	HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE	On 31st December.
HAYRE, BREMEN & HAMBURG	NURNBERG	Ger. str.	—	Jaeger	HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE	On 13th January.
HAYRE, BREMEN & HAMBURG	SEBASTIA	Ger. str.	—	Brehmer	HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE	On 27th January.
GENOVA, SINGAPORE, PENANG & BOMBAY	BISAGNO	Ital. str.	—	Mazio	CARLOWITZ & CO.	On 19th inst., at Noon.
GENOVA, LONDON & ANTWERP	DEMOS	Brit. str.	—	Wallace	GIBB, WILSON & CO.	On or about 15th December.
TRIESTE, &c. via SINGAPORE, &c.	MARQUIS D'ACQUERRE	Brit. str.	—	Rassvet	DODWELL & CO. LTD.	On 15th inst., at Noon.
NEW YORK via PORTS & SUEZ CANAL	LOWTHER CASTLE	Brit. str.	—	O. P. Marshall, R.N.R.	CANADIAN PACIFIC R. CO.	About 10th inst.
BAITONORE & NEW YORK	CHARLES TIERHOLM	Brit. str.	—	E. Beetham, R.N.R.	CANADIAN PACIFIC R. CO.	On or about 12th inst.
VANCOUVER, via SHANGHAI, &c.	EMERSON OF INDIA	Brit. str.	—	W. M. Smith	DODWELL & CO. LTD.	On 19th inst., at Noon.
VICTORIA (B.C.) & TACOMA via JAPAN	SHAWMUT	Brit. str.	—	H. J. Parsons	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	To-morrow.
VICTORIA (B.C.) & SEATTLE via SHANGHAI, &c.	INDRAPURA	Brit. str.	—	S. J. G. Parsons	NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA	On 9th inst.
PORTLAND, OREGON	TSINAN	Brit. str.	—	Hollingsworth	POSTLAND & AMERICAN S.S. CO.	On 2nd December, at 4 p.m.
AUSTRALIAN PORTS	KABOGA MARU	Brit. str.	—	P. T. Helms	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 14th inst.
AUSTRALIAN PORTS	CHANGHIA	Brit. str.	—	H. Fraser	GIBB, WILSON & CO.	On 29th inst., at 4 p.m.
AUSTRALIAN PORTS	KAWACHI MARU	Brit. str.	—	A. F. Street	P. & O. S. N. Co.	On 27th inst., at 4 p.m.
AUSTRALIAN PORTS	LEONHIMA MARU	Brit. str.	—	T. Murai	NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA	On or about 16th inst.
AUSTRALIAN PORTS	USUGO MARU	Brit. str.	—	T. Davies	NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA	On 21st inst., at Daylight.
AUSTRALIAN PORTS	KUMANO MARU	Brit. str.	—	E. W. Haswell	NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA	On 2nd December, at Noon.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	KWEIFANG	Brit. str.	—	—	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 5th Dec., at Daylight.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	WANGPOA	Brit. str.	—	F. J. Fox	SWIRE, WILSON & CO.	On 28th inst., at Noon.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	TIENSHAN	Brit. str.	—	Bretford	BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE	On 12th inst.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	CHINKIANG	Brit. str.	—	T. Ogata	OSAKA SHOSHEN KAISHA	To-day.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	TAMULU, via SWATOW & AMOY	Brit. str.	—	I. Goto	OSAKA SHOSHEN KAISHA	To-morrow, at Noon.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	FOOCHOW, via SWATOW & AMOY	Brit. str.	—	T. Saito	OSAKA SHOSHEN KAISHA	On 11th inst.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	ANPING, via SWATOW & AMOY	Brit. str.	—	G. S. Weigall	JARDINE, MATHESON & CO.	On 9th inst.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	J. McGinty	SHAW, TOMES & CO.	On 12th inst.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	P. H. Rolfe	JARDINE, MATHESON & CO.	To-morrow, at 4 p.m.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	Tate	MITSUBISHI KAISHA	On 11th inst., at Noon.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	A. Fraser	SHAW, TOMES & CO.	On 14th inst., at 3 p.m.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	H. Fraser	A. S. MURRAY	On 14th inst., at Noon.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	Bulter	JARDINE, MATHESON & CO.	On 27th inst., at 4 p.m.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	S. H. Bulson	DAVID SASSOON & CO. LD.	To-day, at Noon.
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI & KOBE	MANILA, via AMOY	Brit. str.	—	—	—	On 13th inst., at 3 p.m.

## PENINSULAR AND ORIENTAL

## STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY.

FOR	STEAMERS	TO SAIL ON	REMARKS
LONDON, &c.	CHUSAN	Nov. 8th	See Special Advertisement
SHANGHAI	PARAKATTA	Nov. 8th	Freight or Passage
MARSEILLES and LONDON	JAVA	Nov. 14th	Freight or Passage
YOKOHAMA, via SHANGHAI, MOJI and KOBE (Passing through the Inland Sea)	G. W. Gordon, R.N.R.	Nov. 14th	Freight or Passage
	MALACCA	Nov. 16th	Freight or Passage

For further Particulars, apply to

E. A. HEWETT, Superintendent.

Hongkong, 4th November, 1902.

## OSAKA SHOSHEN KAISHA.

## PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG—SUBJECT TO ALTERATION.

FOR	STEAMERS	TO SAIL ON	REMARKS
TAMULU, via SWATOW and AMOY	"DAIJIN MARU"	SUNDAY, 9th November	
TAMULU, via SWATOW and AMOY	"DAIJIN MARU"	SUNDAY, 16th November	
ANPING, via SWATOW and AMOY	"MAIDZURU MARU"	WEDNESDAY, 12th November	
FOOCHOW, via SWATOW and AMOY	"ANLING MARU"	WEDNESDAY, 19th November	

The Co's new Steamers are specially designed for the coast trade of South China and Formosa, and are fitted with all modern improvements. Excellent accommodation is provided for first-class passengers, and a duly qualified doctor is carried.

All Steamers carry the Imperial Japanese Mail, subject to periodical inspection by the Government Marine Surveyors, and are registered the highest class at Lloyd's.

Steamers will go alongside the Co's Pontoon at the Customs' water-front premises at Tamsui to land all passengers and cargo.

By the Co's steamers for Shanghai, through Bills of Lading are issued for Cargo to Yangtze River Ports, as well as for North China Ports, in connection with the Nippon Yusen Kaisha's steamers from Shanghai.

For Freight, Passage, and further information, apply to Company's local Branch Office at No. 2, Des Voeux Road Central.

T. ARIMA, Manager. (15)

## IMPERIAL GERMAN MAIL LINE.

## NORDDEUTSCHER LLOYD. HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE.

## STEAM FOR SINGAPORE, PENANG, COLOMBO, ADEN, SUMATRA, PORT SAID, NAPLES, GENOA, ANTWERP, BREMEN/HAMBURG.

## PORTS IN THE LEVANT, BLACK SEA AND BALTIC PORTS ALSO AND SOUTH AMERICAN PORTS.

## STEAMERS WILL CALL AT GIBRALTAR AND LUGGAN.

## N.B.—CARGO CAN BE TAKEN ON THROUGH BILLS OF LADING FOR THE PRINCIPAL PLACES IN RUSSIA.

## PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG—SUBJECT TO ALTERATION.

STEAMERS	SAILING DATES
SACHSEN	WEDNESDAY 12th November
GERA	WEDNESDAY 20th November
* KLAUSCHOU	WEDNESDAY 10th December
BAYERN	WEDNESDAY 24th December
KONIG ALBERT	WEDNESDAY 7th Jan., 1903
PRINZESS IRENE	WEDNESDAY 4th Feb., 1903
DAEMSTADT	WEDNESDAY 18th Feb., 1903
PREUSSEN	WEDNESDAY 4th Mar., 1903

\* Hamburg.

\* Steamers of the Hamburg-Amerika Linie.

ON WEDNESDAY, the 12th day of November, 1902, at Noon, the Steamship "SACHSEN,"

of the NORDDEUTSCHER LLOYD, Captain W. Frank, with MAILED PASSENGERS,

SPECIE and CARGO, will leave this Port as above, CALLING AT NAPLES and GENOA.

Shipping Orders will be granted till Noon on Monday, the 10th November; Cargo and Specie will be received on Board until 5 p.m. on Tuesday, the 12th November, and Passengers will be received at the Agency's Office until Noon on Tuesday, the 12th November.

Contents of Packages are required. No Parcel Receipts will be signed for more than 50 lbs. and Parcels should not exceed Two Feet Cubic in Measurement.

The Steamer has splendid accommodation, and carries a Doctor and Stewardess. Linen can be washed on board.

NORDDEUTSCHER LLOYD.

For further Particulars, apply to MELOCHERS &amp; CO., AGENTS.

Hongkong, 30th October, 1902. (15)

## HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE. NORDDEUTSCHER LLOYD.

## OSTASIATISCHER FRACHTDAMPFER DIENST.

Taking Cargo at through rates to ANTWERP, AMSTERDAM, ROTTERDAM, COPENHAGEN, LISBON, Oporto, LONDON, LIVERPOOL, GLASGOW, TELEN, GENOA, PORTS IN THE LEVANT, BLACK SEA AND BALTIC PORTS, NORTH and SOUTH AMERICAN PORTS.

## PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG—SUBJECT TO ALTERATION.

STEAMERS	SAILING DATES
SAXONIA	On 9th Nov. Freight
Capt. Jaeger	(Calling at Singapore and Penang)
SEBASTIA	On 19th Nov. Freight
Capt. Broder	(Calling at Singapore and Colombo)
MAERBURG	On 3rd Dec. Freight
Capt. Neidermeyer	(Calling at Singapore and Penang)
SUEVIA	On 17th Dec. Freight
Capt. Borok	(Calling at Singapore and Colombo)
ALLESIA	On 31st Dec. Freight
Capt. Schoenfeldt	(Calling at Singapore and Colombo)
NURNBERG	On 14th Jan. Freight
Capt. Jaeger	(Calling at Singapore and Colombo)
SILESIA	On 27th Jan. Freight
Capt. Balle	(Calling at Singapore and Penang)

For Further Particulars, apply to

HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE.

HONGKONG OFFICE.

QUEEN'S BUILDINGS, No. 1.

COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGERIES MARITIMES.

PAQUEBOTS-POSTE FRANCAIS.

NOTICE.

STEAM FOR STRAITS, CEYLON, AUSTRALIA, INDIA, ADEN, SUEZ, MEDITERRANEAN PORTS.

PLYMOUTH AND LONDON.

THROUGH BILLS OF LADING ISSUED FOR BATAVIA, PERSEAN GULF, CONTINENTAL, AMERICAN, and SOUTH AFRICAN PORTS.

THE Steamship

"CHUSAN,"

Captain C. L. Daniel, carrying His Majesty's Mails, will be despatched from this Port for Bombay, on SATURDAY, the 8th November, at Noon, taking passengers and cargo for the above ports.

Silk and Valuable, all cargo for France, and Tea for London (under arrangement) will be transhipped at Colombo into a steamer proceeding direct to Marseilles and London; other cargo for London, &amp;c., will be conveyed via Bombay with transhipment.

Parcels will be received at this Office until 4 p.m. the day before sailing. The contents and value of all packages are required.

Shippers are particularly requested to note the terms and conditions of the Company's bills of Lading.

For further particulars, apply to

E. A. HEWETT, Superintendent.

Hongkong, 27th October, 1902. (15)

Hongkong, 5th November, 1902. (15)

## CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY CO.'S

## ROYAL MAIL STEAMSHIP LINE.

## THE FAST ROUTE BETWEEN CHINA, JAPAN, AND EUROPE, VIA CANADA, AND THE UNITED STATES.

CALLING AT SHANGHAI, NAGASAKI, KOBE, YOKOHAMA AND VICTORIA, B.C.

SAFETY. SPEED. PUNCTUALITY.

"Empress" Twin Screw Steamships—6,000 Tons—10,000 Horse-Power—Speed 19 knots.

SAVING THREE TO SEVEN DAYS ACROSS THE PACIFIC.

## PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG.

(SUBJECT TO ALTERATION.)

R.M.S. "EMPERESS OF INDIA" Comdr. O. P. Marshall, R.N.R. WEDNESDAY, 19th Nov.

R.M.S. "TAITAT" Comdr. E. Beetham, R.N.R. WEDNESDAY, 3rd Dec.

R.M.S. "EMPERESS OF JAPAN" Comdr. H. Pybus, R.N.R. WEDNESDAY, 17th Dec.

R.M.S. "ATHENIAN" Comdr. H. Mowatt WEDNESDAY, 31st Dec.

R.M.S. "EMPERESS OF CHINA" Comdr. R. Archibald, R.N.R. WEDNESDAY, 14th Jan.

The attractive features of this Company's route embrace its PALATIAL STEAMSHIPS, (second to none in the World), the LUXURANCE OF ITS TRANS-CONTINENTAL TRAINS (the Company having received the highest award for same at recent Chicago World Exhibition), and the diversity of MAGNIFICENT MOUNTAIN AND LAKE SCENERY through which the Railway passes.

THE DINING CARS AND MOUNTAIN HOTELS of this route are owned and operated by the Company, and their appointments and Cuisine are unexcelled.

The Company's Steamships "TAITAT" and "ATHENIAN" have now been placed on the Line between CHINA and JAPAN PORTS and VANCOUVER, as additional sailings, taking Cargo and Passengers for all points in CANADA and the UNITED STATES.

In addition to the excellent First Saloon Passenger accommodation, the "ATHENIAN" takes 2nd Cabin Passengers with accommodation unequalled on the Pacific, also Steerage.

The "TAITAT" takes First Class and Steerage Passengers only. The run is usually made between YOKOHAMA and VANCOUVER in 14 days.

For further information, Maps, Guides, Books, Rates of Passage and Freight, apply to

L. BROWN, General Agent, P.O. Box 2, Street.

## NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA

## (THE JAPAN MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY).

## PROJECTED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG—SUBJECT TO ALTERATION.

STEAMERS	DESTINATIONS	SAILING DATES
HYACHI MARU	MARSEILLES, LONDON and ANTWERP, via SINGAPORE, PENANG, COLOMBO and PORT SAID	SATURDAY, 15th Nov. at DAYLIGHT.
KAWACHI MARU	KOBE and YOKOHAMA	FRIDAY, 21st Nov. at DAYLIGHT.
KASUGA MARU	SYDNEY and MELBOURNE, MANILA via THURSDAY ISLAND, TOWNSVILLE and BRISBANE	THURSDAY, 27th Nov. at 4 p.m.
KUMANO MARU	NAGASAKI, KOBE and YOKOHAMA	FRIDAY, 28th Nov. at Noon.
WAKABA MARU	MARSEILLES, LONDON and ANTWERP, via SINGAPORE, PENANG, COLOMBO and PORT SAID	SATURDAY, 29th Nov. at DAYLIGHT.
HIROSHIMA MARU	KOBE and YOKOHAMA	TUESDAY, 2nd Dec. at Noon.
Ito MARU	VICTORIA, B.C. and SEATTLE, U.S.A. via SHANGHAI, MOJI, KOBE and YOKOHAMA	TUESDAY, 2nd Dec. at 4 p.m.
BIRCO MARU	KOBE and YOKOHAMA	FRIDAY, 5th Dec. at DAYLIGHT.

Through Passenger Tickets issued to the Principal Cities in the United States, Canada and Europe, in connection with the German North German, Harpway and Atlantic Steamers Round the World Ticket also issued. Between Tokyo and Kobe, 1st and 2nd Class through Passengers have the option of travelling by the Sagami Railway.

For further information as to Freight, Passage, Sailings, &amp;c. apply at the Company's Local Branch Office at Prince's Building, First Floor, Charter Road.

A. S. MIHARA, Manager.

## VESSELS ON THE BERTH.

## INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY, LIMITED.

## FOR SINGAPORE, PENANG AND CALCUTTA.

## THE Company's Steamship

## "KUMSANG."

Captain Butler, will be despatched as above TO-DAY, the 7th inst., at Noon.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

JARDINE, MATHESON &amp; CO., General Managers.

Hongkong, 4th November, 1902. (15)

## INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY, LIMITED.

## FOR MANILA.

## THE Company's Steamship

## "LOONGSANG."

Captain G. S. Weigall, will be despatched as above TO-MORROW, the 8th inst., at Noon.

This Steamer has superior accommodation for First-class Passengers, and is fitted throughout with Electric Light.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

JARDINE, MATHESON &amp; CO., General Managers.

Hongkong, 4th November, 1902. (15)

## THE CHINA AND MANILA STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

## FOR MANILA VIA AMOY.

## THE Company's Steamship

## "PERLA."

Captain J. McGinty, will be despatched as above TO-MORROW, the 8th inst., at 4 p.m.

The attention of Passengers is directed to the excellent accommodation provided by this steamer. She is fitted throughout with the Electric Light and is supplied with a Refrigerating Chamber.

A Doctor is carried.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

SHEWAN TOMES &amp; CO., General Managers.

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902. (15)

## NAVIGAZIONE GENERALE ITALIANA.

(Florida and Rabatino United Companies.)

## STEAM FOR GENOVA VIA SINGAPORE, PENANG AND BOMBAY.

Having connection with Company's Mail Steamers to ADEN, SUEZ, PORT SAID, MESSINA, NAPLES, LEBRONO also VENICE and BARCELONA, all MEDITERRANEAN, AFRICAN, LEVANTINE and SOUTH AMERICAN PORTS up to CALLED.

(Taking Cargo at through rates to PERS



## OCEAN STEAM SHIP COMPANY, LD.

FROM	OUTWARDS.	STEAMERS	TO	DUE
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"ALCINOUS"	On 15th November.		
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"PELEUS"	On 15th December.		
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"TELEACHUS"	On 31st December.		
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"PROMETHEUS"	On 8th January.		

FOR	HOMEWARDS.	STEAMERS	TO SAIL
LONDON	"MENELAUS"	On 11th November.	
LIVERPOOL Direct	"DEUCALION"	On 20th November.	
(Taking Cargo at London Rates)	"AGAMEMNON"	On 25th November.	
LONDON	"TANTALUS"	On 28th December.	
AMSTERDAM and LONDON	"ALCINOUS"	On 20th December.	
LIVERPOOL Direct	"ULYSSES"	On 23rd December.	
(Taking Cargo at London Rates)	"PELEUS"	On 31st January.	
LONDON	"ANTENOR"	On 20th January.	
LIVERPOOL	"TYDEUS"	On 20th January.	

The S.S. "TANTALUS" has arrived, and leaves for Shanghai to-day.  
The S.S. "LABETTES" left Singapore on the 5th inst., and is expected here on the 12th inst.  
For Freight, apply to

BUTTERFIELD &amp; SWIRE,

AGENTS.

## CHINA MUTUAL STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY, LD.

FROM	OUTWARDS.	STEAMERS	TO	DUE
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"TENKAI"	On 19th November.		
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"MOYUNE"	On 27th November.		
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"OOPACK"	On 10th December.		
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"NINGCHOW"	On 25th December.		

FOR	HOMEWARDS.	STEAMERS	TO SAIL
LIVERPOOL Direct	"PINGSUET"	On 10th November.	
(Taking Cargo at London Rates)			

## TRANS-PACIFIC SERVICE.

FOR	STEAMERS	TO SAIL
VICTORIA, SEATTLE, TACOMA, and	"MOYUNE"	On 25th November.
ALL PACIFIC COAST PORTS, VIA	"NINGCHOW"	On 27th December.
NAGASAKI, KOBE, and YOKOHAMA		
The S.S. "PINGSUET" left Kobe on Sunday, and is due here on the 9th instant.		

For Freight, apply to

BUTTERFIELD &amp; SWIRE,

AGENTS.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1902.

## CHINA NAVIGATION CO., LIMITED.

FOR	STEAMERS	TO SAIL
SHANGHAI	"NINGPO"	On 7th November.
SHANGHAI	"WHAMPOA"	On 8th November.
SHANGHAI	"TUPER"	On 8th November.
NINGPO and SHANGHAI	"CHINKIANG"	On 11th November.
TIENTSIN	"KWEIYANG"	On 12th November.
MANILA	"SUNGKIANG"	On 13th November.
KOBE	"CHANGSHA"	On 14th November.

PORT DARWIN, THURSDAY  
TOWNSVILLE, BRISBANE,  
SYDNEY and MELBOURNE

The attention of Passengers is directed to the superior accommodation offered by these steamers, which are fitted throughout with Electric Light. A duly qualified Surgeon is carried.  
† Taking Cargo on through bills of lading to all Yangtze and Northern China Ports.  
‡ Taking Cargo and Passengers at through rates for all New Zealand Ports and other Australian Ports.  
§ See special Advertisement.

For Freight or Passage, apply to—

BUTTERFIELD &amp; SWIRE,

AGENTS.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1902.

## PORTLAND &amp; ASIATIC STEAMSHIP CO.

SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG VIA SHANGHAI, INLAND SEA OF JAPAN, KOBE & YOKOHAMA FOR		PORTLAND, OREGON	
OPERATING IN CONNECTION WITH THE		OREGON RAILROAD & NAVIGATION CO.	
STEAMSHIP	TONS	CAPTAIN	HONGKONG.
"INDRAPATHA"	4,889	Hollingsworth	November 14, 1902
"INDRASAMHA"	5,197	E. P. Craven	December 14, 1902
"INDRAVELLI"	4,889	W. C. Craven	January 14, 1903
The Agents of Lining issued to Pacific Coast Ports and all Eastern, Canadian and United States Ports. For through rates of Freight and further information, communicate with or apply to			

Through Bills of Lading issued to Pacific Coast Ports and all Eastern, Canadian and United States Ports. For through rates of Freight and further information, communicate with or apply to

ALLAN CAMERON, GENERAL AGENT.

Hongkong, 25th October, 1902.

NORTHERN PACIFIC STEAMSHIP CO.  
BOSTON STEAMSHIP COMPANY.  
BOSTON TOW-BOAT COMPANY.

## PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG,

VIA  
SHANGHAI, INLAND SEA OF JAPAN, KOBE AND YOKOHAMA,FOR  
VICTORIA, B.C., AND TACOMA,IN CONNECTION WITH  
NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

Steamer	Captain	Tons	Sailing Dates
SHAWMUT	W. M. Smith	9,600	November 8th
TACOMA	A. Dixon	2,511	November 25th
GLENOLLE	G. E. Warner	3,750	December 6th
TRENTON		9,600	December 17th

Steamers marked \* have no passenger accommodation.

THE attention of Passengers is directed to the very cheap rates offered by this Line to the PACIFIC COAST and to the INTERIOR and EASTERN CITIES of the UNITED STATES and to EUROPE.

Special rates allowed to members of Government Services.  
Through Bills of Lading issued to Pacific Coast Ports and to the Principal Cities in the United States and Canada.

For further information as to Freight or Passage, apply to

DODWELL &amp; COMPANY, LIMITED,

GENERAL AGENTS.

Hongkong, 5th November, 1902.

## INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR MANILA  
THE Company's Steamship

"YUENSANG"  
Captain P. H. Bell, will be despatched as above on TUESDAY, the 11th inst., at Noon.  
This Steamer has superior accommodation for First-class Passengers and is fitted throughout with Electric Light.  
For Freight or Passage, apply to

JARDINE, MATHESON &amp; CO.,

General Managers.

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902.

## NATAL LINE OF STEAMERS.

THE Undersigned GENERAL AGENTS in CHINA and JAPAN for the above Line are prepared to issue THROUGH BILLS OF LADING for all the principal ports in SOUTH AFRICA, in connection with INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION CO.'s fortnightly service hence to CALCUTTA. Sailings from CALCUTTA for Cape Town every fortnight.  
For Freight and further particulars, apply to

DODWELL &amp; CO., LIMITED,

General Agents for China and Japan.

Hongkong, 4th August, 1897.

## TOYO KISEN KAISHA.

(ORIENTAL S.S. CO.)

## REGULAR SERVICE BETWEEN HONGKONG AND MANILA.

THE Company's well-known Steamship

"ROSETTA MARU,"

3,876 Tons.  
Captain Tate, will be despatched for MANILA on TUESDAY, the 11th inst., at 3 P.M.  
Magnificent accommodation. Comfortable Cabins. Excellent Table. Unrivalled Speed. Electric Light. Doctor and Stewardess carried.  
For Freight or Passage, apply to

THE MITSUI BUSSAN KAISHA,

Agents.

Princes Buildings, 100 House Street, Hongkong, 1st November, 1902.

## REGULAR STEAMSHIP SERVICE TO NEW

VIA PORTS AND SUEZ CANAL

(WITH LIBERTY TO CALL AT PHILIPPINE PORTS)

PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG.

1902

"LOWTHER CASTLE" About 10th Nov.

"BORDER KNIGHT" 20th Nov.

"CROYDON" 10th Dec.

"ORONO" 20th Dec.

"MOSUL" 3rd Jan.

"HINDUSTAN" 10th Jan.

"MACDUFF" To follow.

"SHIMOSA" To follow.

For Freight and further information, apply to

DODWELL &amp; CO., LD.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902.

## AUSTRIAN LLOYD'S STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY.

STEAM TO SHANGHAI.

THE Company's Steamship

"TIROL"

Captain Bretfeld, will leave for the above places on TUESDAY, the 11th inst., at 4 P.M.  
The Steamer has capital accommodation for Passengers, Electric Light, and carries a Doctor.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

SANDER, WIELER &amp; CO.,

Agents.

Princes Building, Hongkong, 6th November, 1902.

## THE CHINA AND MANILA STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR MANILA.

THE Company's Steamship

"ZAFIRO"

Captain A. Fraser, will be despatched for the above port on FRIDAY, the 14th inst., at Noon.  
Highest-class Passenger Steamers, High powered, newest and most up to date on the run. All accommodation unimpaired. Electric Light and all other modern improvements. A Surgeon is carried.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

SHEWAN TOMES &amp; CO.,

General Managers.

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902.

## "GLEN" LINE OF STEAMSHIPS.

FOR LONDON VIA SUEZ CANAL.

THE Steamship

"GLENARTNEY"

Captain Stevenson, M.N.S., will be despatched as above on SATURDAY, the 14th November.  
For Freight or Passage, apply to

McQUEEN BROS. &amp; GOW,

Agents.

Hongkong, 25th October, 1902.

## AUSTRIAN LLOYD'S STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY.

STEAM FOR

PIUMI AND TRIESTE (DIRECT),

Calling at SINGAPORE, PENANG, COLOMBO, BOMBAY, ADEN,

SUEZ and PORT SAID.

(Taking Cargo at through rates to the Brazils, to SOUTH AFRICA, PERSIAN GULF, RED SEA, BLACK SEA, LEVANT, VENICE and ADRIATIC PORTS.)

THE Company's Steamship

"MARQUIS BACQUEHEM"

Captain Rasmussen, will be despatched as above on SATURDAY, the 15th November, P.M.  
This Steamer has Capital Accommodation for Passengers, Electric Light, and carries a Doctor.

For information as to Passage and Freight, apply to

SANDER, WIELER &amp; CO.,

Agents.

Princes Buildings, Hongkong, 24th October, 1902.

## NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA.

RESUMPTION OF MANILA SERVICE.

FOR MANILA.

THE Company's Japanese Mail Steamship

"KASUGA MARU"

(4,000 Tons; Captain H. Fraser), will be despatched for the above port on THURSDAY, the 27th inst., at 4 P.M.

This well-known Steamer is specially constructed for the service in the Tropics, and is provided with superior accommodation and with all modern fittings and improvements for the safety and comfort of Passengers. Electric Light and Refrigerator. Doctor and Stewardess carried.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

A. S. MIHARA,

Manager.

Hongkong, 6th November, 1902.

## STEAM FOR BALTIMORE AND NEW YORK.

THE French Steamer

"CHARLES TIBERGHIE"

will be despatched for the above ports on or about 13th instant.  
For Freight, apply to

ARNOLD, KARBURG &amp; CO.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 4th November, 1902.

## CHINA NAVIGATION CO., LD.

HONGKONG TO

SYDNEY AND MELBOURNE

VIA USUAL AUSTRALIAN PORTS OF CALL.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF VOYAGE TO SYDNEY

20 DAYS.

Saloon Passengers carried at SPECIALLY REDUCED RATES, parties of which can be obtained on application to the Undersigned.

NEXT SAILINGS.

"TSINAN" leaves on 15th November.

"CHANGSHA" " 8th December.

"CHINGTUNG" " 20th December.

"TAIYUAN" " 20th January.

Superior accommodation amidst. Electric Light throughout. Fitted with Refrigerators which ensure a fresh supply of ice and Provisions during the entire voyage. Duly qualified European Surgeons carried.

BUTTERFIELD &amp; SWIRE

AGENTS.

CHINA NAVIGATION CO., LD.

Hongkong, 5th November, 1902.

EASTERN AND AUSTRALIAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SYDNEY AND MELBOURNE.

(Call at TIMOR, PORT DARWIN, and QUEENSLAND PORTS, and taking through Cargo to ADELAIDE, NEW ZEALAND, TASMANIA, &c.)

THE Steamship

"AUSTRALIAN"

Captain P. T. Helms, will be despatched as above on THURSDAY, the 20th November, at 4 P.M.  
This well-known Steamer is specially fitted for Passengers, and has a Refrigerating Chamber, which ensures the supply of Fresh Provisions, Ice, &c., throughout the voyage.

The Steamer is installed throughout with the Electric Light.  
A Stewardess and a duly qualified Surgeon are carried.

N.B.—To assure the additional comfort of passengers the steamers of the Company have electric fans fitted in staterooms.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

GIBB, LIVINGSTON &amp; CO.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 24th October, 1902.

## NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES

NAVIGAZIONE GENERALE

ITALIANA

(Florio and Eubattino United Companies.)

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

FROM BOMBAY AND SINGAPORE.

THE Steamship

"BISAGNO"

having arrived from the above ports, Consignees of Cargo by her are hereby informed that their Goods are being landed at their risk into the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company, whence delivery may be obtained. Perishable Goods to be taken delivery of immediately.

All damaged packages must be left in the Godowns, and a certificate obtained from the Godown Company, within seven days after the vessel's arrival here, after which no claims will be recognized.

No Fire Insurance has been effected, and any Goods remaining in the Godown after the 11th instant, will be subject to rent.

CARLO WITZ &amp; CO.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 5th November, 1902.

## FROM HAMBURG AND SINGAPORE.

THE H.A.L. Steamship

"ALESSIA"

Captain Schenckfeldt, having arrived from the above ports, Consignees of Cargo are hereby requested to send in their Bills of Lading for countersignature by the Undersigned and to take immediate delivery of their Goods from alongside.

Optional Cargo will be forwarded unless notice to the contrary be given before 5 P.M., To-day, 1st November.

Any Cargo landing her discharge will be landed into the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company, Limited, and stored at Consignees' risk and expense.

No Claims will be admitted after the Goods have left the Godowns, and all Goods remaining undelivered after the 8th inst. will be subject to rent.

All broken, chafed, and damaged Goods are to be left in the Godowns, where they will be examined on the 8th inst., at 3 P.M.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.  
HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINE,  
Hongkong Office.

Hongkong, 1st November, 1902.

## STEAMSHIP "SYDNEY"

COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGERIES

MARITIMES.

NOTICE.

CONSIGNEES of Cargo from London, ex S.S. "Gauloise," from Bordeaux, ex S.S. "Ville de Lorient," in connection with above Steamer, are hereby informed that their Goods, with the exception of Opium, Treasure and Valuables, are being landed and stored at their risks into the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Co., Ltd., at Kowloon, whence delivery may be obtained immediately after landing.

Optional Cargo will be forwarded on unless intimation is received from the Consignees before 5 P.M., To-day, 2nd inst., requesting it to be landed here.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by the Undersigned. Goods remaining unclaimed after Monday, the 10th inst., at Noon, will be subject to rent and landing charges.

All claims must be sent in to me on or before the 10th inst., or they will not be recognized. All damaged packages will be examined on Monday, the 10th inst., at 3 P.M.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.  
G. DE CHAMPEAUX,  
Agent.

Hongkong, 2nd November, 1902.

## "BEN" LINE OF STEAMERS.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

S.S. "BENMOHR"

FROM ANTWERP, LONDON AND STRAITS.

CONSIGNEES of Cargo are hereby informed that all Goods are being landed at their risk into the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company, Ltd., whence and/or from the wharves delivery may be obtained.

No claims will be admitted after the Goods have left the Godowns and all Goods undelivered after the 10th inst. will be subject to rent.

All claims against the Steamer must be presented to the Undersigned on or before the 20th inst., or they will not be recognized.

All broken, chafed, and damaged Goods are to be left in the Godowns, where they will be examined on the 10th inst., at 11 A.M.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.  
Bills of Lading will be countersigned by

GIBB, LIVINGSTON &amp; CO.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 1st November, 1902.

## TO LET.

N.O. 3. "MAGDALEN" TERRACE.

MAGAZINE GAR.

Apply to—

SPANISH PROCURATION.

Hongkong, 1st April, 1902.

## TO LET.

THE RETREAT, MOUNT KELLY.

HOUSES in CLYTON GARDENS, Conduit Road.

HOUSES at CAUSEWAY BAY, facing the Polo Ground.  
No. 2, RIFON TERRACE.

GODOWNS at BOWLING GREEN (FRAYE EAST).  
Apply to—

THE HONGKONG LAND INVESTMENT &amp; AGENCY CO., LD.

Hongkong, 23rd September, 1902.

## TO LET.

N.O. 6, MACDONNELL ROAD. Vacant



# PHOENIX FIRE OFFICE